ioNosaic®

Minimizing risk. Maximizing potential.®

May 12, 2021

Dynamic Modeling of Inbreathing Requirements for Low Pressure Storage Tanks

G. A. Melhem, Ph.D., FAIChE melhem@iomosaic.com

QMS_7.3_7.4.F05 Rev.8



© ioMosaic Corporation

Any information contained in this document is copyrighted, proprietary, and confidential in nature belonging exclusively to ioMosaic Corporation. Any reproduction, circulation, or redistribution is strictly prohibited without explicit written permission of ioMosaic Corporation.

We will cover the following topics in this short presentation

- Overview of current standards used for calculating relief requirements due to thermal inbreathing
- Previous work on thermal inbreathing for low-pressure storage tanks
- Effect of vapor condensation on thermal inbreathing requirements
- ✓ A brief introduction to Process Safety Office[®] SuperChems[™] wall dynamics for vapor and two-phase systems
- ✓ SuperChems[™] model validation vs. measurements and other published simulation results
- ✓ SuperChems[™] relief requirements prediction vs. API 2000 equations for condensable vapors



This presentation is based on recent ioMosaic sponsored research at Northeastern University in 2016

- Research was supervised by Dr. Ronald Wiley and Dr. G. A. Melhem
- Funded by ioMosaic
- A primary objective of this research was to qualify existing methods for the proper prediction of relief requirements under extreme weather conditions for low-pressure storage tanks including the impact of condensable vapor and mixtures with wide boiling points
- The second objective of this research was to qualify the impact of solar flux on outbreathing and inbreathing at different locations around the globe
- ✓ A third objective was to compare the detailed SuperChems[™] wall dynamics single-phase and two-phase models vs. large scale test data and other researchers recent work and to establish reasonable and recommended values for heat transfer coefficient (rain and wallvapor)



Relief and vacuum protection requirements for low pressure tanks are addressed by API-2000

Causes of Vacuum as stated in API 2000:

- 3.2.3. Weather Changes:
 - Vacuum can result from the contraction or condensation of vapors caused by a decrease in atmospheric temperature or other weather changes, such as wind changes, precipitation, etc.
 Overpressure can result from the expansion and vaporization that is caused by an increase in atmospheric temperature or weather changes. See 3.3 for calculation methods.
- 3.2.5.13 Steam Condensation
 - If an uninsulated tank is filled with steam (e.g. for steam-out decontamination), the condensing rate due to ambient cooling can exceed the venting rates specified in this standard. Procedures, such as the use of large vents (open manways), controlling the tank cooling rate, or adding a non-condensable gas such as air or nitrogen, are often necessary to prevent an excessive internal vacuum.
- 3.2.5.14 Uninsulated Hot Tanks
 - Uninsulated tanks with exceptionally hot vapor spaces can exceed the thermal inbreathing requirements in this standard during a rainstorm. Vapor contraction and/or condensing can cause an excessive vacuum. An engineering review of heated, uninsulated tanks with vapor-space temperatures above 48.9° C (120° F) is recommended.

Source: API 2000



Simple formulas for the thermal in-breathing requirements for low pressure tanks is provided by API 2000

 $\dot{V}_{IT} = C V_{tk}^{0.7} R_i$

 \dot{V}_{IT} = Maximum inbreathing volumetric flow rate of air at normal conditions in m³/h

 V_{tk} = Tank volume in m³

 R_i = Reduction factor for insulation, 1 where tank is not insulated

C = Dimensionless factor which depends on storage temperature, vapor pressure and latitude

		C-f	C-factor		
Latitude, φ	Vapor press he	Vapor pressure similar to hexane		igher than hexane known	
		Average storage	temperature (°C)		
	< 25	≥25	< 25	≥25	
φ < 42°	4	6.5	6.5	6.5	
$42^{\circ} \le \phi \le 58^{\circ}$	3	5	5	5	
φ > 58°	2.5	4	4	4	



There are some key assumptions used by API 2000 for inbreathing requirements

- Tank is filled with dry air only
- Initial contents and wall temperature = 55° C
- Constant rain properties
 - Rain flow density = 225 kg/m2h or 225 mm/h (8.86 in/h)
 - Rain angle = 30 degrees with vertical
 - Rain water temperature 15° C
- Natural convection of vapor to wall; h_{gas} = 5 W/m²/K
- ✓ Film cooling on the tank outer shell; h_{rain} = 5,000 W/m²/K



There are some potential shortcomings of the API 2000 Equation

- Applicable to only non-condensable gases
- Constant heat transfer coefficients of natural convection inside the tank
- Single volume (lumped capacitance) approach
- Only vapor phase exists in the tank
- Focuses on gases similar to hexane service



The impact of condensation on inbreathing requirements has already been identified in the literature

Fullarton (1986)

- Accounted for the heat of condensation released at the inside shell wall
- Considered the heat capacity of the tank wall
- Defined 2 factors: reduction and condensation
- Protego simulations and dynamic modeling
 - Simulated Fullarton's tank (water vapor)
 - Simulated case studies of 5 different vapors: methanol, ethanol, heptane, decane, and water



Source: Fullarton, D. "Influence of Product Vapour Condensation of Venting of Storage Tanks" (1986)



Brooks (2012) published a very useful summary and analysis of the rain to wall heat transfer coefficient which was implemented in SuperChems[™] v8.1





There are some differences regarding the recommended value of the rain heat transfer coefficient to use

Rainstorm Type	API 2000	Brooks 2012	Prot	ego	Fullarton 1986
Average; 1/year	N/A	N/A	37 W/m²K	75 kg/m²h	25 W/m²K
Heavy; 1/20 years	N/A	50 W/m²K	50 W/m²K	150 kg/m²h	50 W/m²K
Unrealistic Downpour; 1/100 years	225 kg/m²h	356 W/m²K	500 W/m²K	225 kg/m²h	N/A



Tank wall metal thickness is important because thicker walls have a larger thermal capacity than thinner walls

Thickness according to tank diameter. All the tanks we're using have a diameter less than 30 m hence **5 mm** was the chosen as the wall thickness DIN 4119 (Annex E) - Minimum Wall Thickness

$d_{M} = 5 m$			D ≤ 30 m
d _M = 6 mm	٠	30 m <	D ≤ 40 m
$d_{M} = 7 m$		40 m <	D ≤ 50 m
d _M .= 8 mm		50 m <	D ≤ 60 m
$d_M = 9 mm$		60 m <	D



We found very few good large scale data sets

- Large scale test data is preferred for model validation and enables
- Free convection heat transfer coefficient according to gas' volatility
- Impact of storing mixtures with wide boiling points



Our SuperChems[™] modeling simulations have the following assumptions

- Tank wall is divided into 5 segments
- Initial liquid level = 0.1%
- ▼ Fix the outside (rain) heat transfer coefficient to 50 W/m²K
- Rain duration = 30 minutes
- Ambient temperature = 15° C



The SuperChems[™] two-phase vessel contents mass and energy balances are very detailed

$$\begin{split} \hline \textbf{Energy} & \begin{bmatrix} N_{\text{internals}} C_{v_{\text{internals}}} + \sum_{i}^{C} (N_{i} + n_{i}) C_{v_{i}} + N_{T} \frac{\partial \Delta U_{i}}{\partial T} + n_{T} \frac{\partial \Delta U_{i}}{\partial T} \end{bmatrix} \frac{dT}{dt} + \\ & \begin{bmatrix} N_{T} \frac{\partial \Delta U_{v}}{\partial P} + n_{T} \frac{\partial \Delta U_{i}}{\partial P} \end{bmatrix} \frac{dP}{dt} + \\ & \sum_{i}^{C} \left(\underbrace{U_{i,ref}}_{i,ref} + \int_{T_{ref}}^{T} C_{v_{i}} dT + \Delta \underline{U}_{v} + N_{T} \frac{\partial \Delta \underline{U}_{v}}{\partial N_{i}} \right) \frac{dN_{i}}{dt} + \\ & \sum_{i}^{C} \left(\underbrace{U_{i,ref}}_{i,ref} + \int_{T_{ref}}^{T} C_{v_{j}} dT + \Delta \underline{U}_{i} + n_{T} \frac{\partial \Delta \underline{U}_{i}}{\partial n_{i}} \right) \frac{dn_{i}}{dt} = \\ & \hat{Q} - \dot{H}_{l,out} - \dot{E}_{l,out} - \dot{H}_{v,out} - \dot{E}_{v,out} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{Mass} & \frac{dn_{i}}{dt} + \frac{dN_{i}}{dt} = -\dot{n}_{i,out} - \dot{N}_{i,out} + \dot{R}_{i} \quad (\text{for } i = 1, \cdots, C) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{Volume} & (V_{v}\beta_{v} + V_{i}\beta_{l}) \frac{dT}{dt} - (V_{v}\kappa_{v} + V_{l}\kappa_{l}) \frac{dP}{dt} + \sum_{i} \overline{V}_{v_{i}} \frac{dN_{i}}{dt} + \sum_{i} \overline{V}_{l_{i}} \frac{dn_{i}}{dt} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{Phase} \\ & Equilibrium \\ \end{aligned}$$

Don't forget PVT!

Source: Brooks, D. "Thermal Inbreathing Requirements of Low Pressure Storage Tanks at Elevated Temperatures" (2012)



The SuperChems[™] two-phase balances can be simplified for vessels containing vapor or supercritical fluids

Energy

$$a\frac{dT}{dt} + b\frac{dP}{dt} = c$$

Don't forget PVT!

where a, b, and c are constants defined as follows:

$$a = N_{\text{internals}} C_{v_{\text{internals}}} + \sum_{i}^{C} N_{i} C_{v_{i}} + N_{T} \frac{\partial \Delta \underline{U}_{v}}{\partial T}$$

$$b = N_{T} \frac{\partial \Delta \underline{U}_{v}}{\partial P}$$

$$c = \dot{Q} - \dot{H}_{v,out} - \dot{E}_{v,out} - \sum_{i}^{C} \left(\underline{U}_{i,ref} + \int_{T_{\text{ref}}}^{T} C_{v_{i}} dT + \Delta \underline{U}_{v} + N_{T} \frac{\partial \Delta U_{v}}{\partial N_{i}} \right) \frac{dN_{i}}{dt}$$

Mass
$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = -\dot{N}_{i,out} + \dot{R}_i \quad (\text{for } i = 1, \cdots, C)$$
Volume
$$\beta_v \frac{dT}{dt} - \kappa_v \frac{dP}{dt} = -\frac{1}{V_v} \sum_i \overline{V}_{v_i} \frac{dN_i}{dt}$$





Heat transfer across the wall is modeled in detail for each wall segment in SuperChems[™]





SuperChems[™] segments vessels into multiple zones and accounts for heat conduction across the wall and between the zones using the effective conduction length method





First, we will consider model predictions for noncondensable vapors

► Air



The following data set was published by Sigel - "Practical And Theoretical Study Of The Ventilation Of Fixed Roof Tanks" Experimental)

- Tank was empty (i.e. dry air)
- **r** Tank capacity = 600 m^3
- Experiment done in Germany on
 29 July 1980



Source: Sigel, R., Kuxdorf, B., Meiss, R. and Schwarz, H. "Praktische und theoretische Untersuchung der Beatmung von Festdachtanks" (1983)



We simulated Siegel's experiment using the following parameters (best estimates)

Vessel: H = 10.6 m; D = 8.5 m; s = 5 mm (wall thickness)

- Mixture: 79 mol% Nitrogen; 21 mol% Oxygen
- Ambient Temperature = 25° C
- Cloud Coverage = 0%
- Average Wind Speed = 2 m/s
- Location: Berlin, Germany (Longitude: 13.417 degrees Latitude: 52.5 degrees)
- Tank is exposed to solar heating



Model predictions are reasonable considering some uncertainty in some parameter values not reported by the experiments

Inbreathing Profile – Dry Air



Parameters	SuperChems™
H _{gas}	5.56 W/m ² K
H _{rain}	16.26 W/m ² K
m _{rain}	12 kg/m ² h

 $\Delta P_{max} = -0.01$ psig



Next we reproduce Protego's simulations

- Ambient Temperature = 15° C
- Cloud Coverage = 100%
- Average Wind Speed = 5 m/s
- Tank is NOT exposed to solar heating
- Vessel: H = 12 m; D = 20 m; s = 5 mm; V = 3980 m³ (single volume)
- Mixture: 79 mol% Nitrogen; 21 mol% Oxygen



Very good agreement is obtained for inbreathing requirements

Inbreathing Profile – Dry Air – PROTEGO



W/m²K	PROTEGO	ioMosaic
H_{gas}	5	5
H _{rain}	37	37.255
	Hole Size	ΔΡ
ΔΡ	3.16"	-0.26 psig



Protego's tank (Dry Air) – 3.16" hole

1.5

2

	PROTEGO	ioMosaic
Minimum dT/dt (K/h)	-78.3	-107.10

Rate of Temperature Change

Time (h)

PROTEGO

ioMosaic

٠

0.5

0

0

-20

-40

dT/dt (K/h)

-80

-100

-120



Source: Process Safety Office® SuperChems™ - ioMosaic Corporation



Increasing the shell wall thickness reduces the maximum inbreathing V_{max} BUT yields accurate temperature profiles





Protego's tank – 10 mm Wall Thickness



	PROTEGO	ioMosaic (10mm)
Minimum dT/dt (K/h)	-78.3	-85.8

Source: Process Safety Office® SuperChems™ - ioMosaic Corporation



© ioMosaic Corporation

Protego's tank – 10 mm Wall Thickness





Next, we will consider model predictions for condensable vapors

- Protego used a vapor only vessel when they carried out the inbreathing simulation
- Not suitable since the tank cannot contain pure water vapor at 55° C and 1 atm. Water exists as a two-phase mixture with air
- ✓ We used SuperChems[™] two-phase vessel and wall dynamics
- Initial liquid level of water: ILL = 0.15 %
- Purged the tank with Nitrogen gas to get water to saturation condition at 55° C and 1 atm



We estimated the free convection heat transfer coefficient (h_{gas}) corresponding to different values of wall thickness

- Protego's model assumed that the wall thermal capacity is negligible i.e. the wall thickness is very small (close to null)
- The mass of the stored gas in the tank is small compared to the mass of the steel shell
- The metal shell can keep the stored gas and walls warmer
- Reducing the shell thickness increases the conservativeness of the thermal inbreathing requirement
- We fixed the rain intensity (h_{rain}) & wall thickness (s=1mm; s=5mm; s=10mm) and varied h_{gas} to match the inbreathing profiles reported by Protego



We used the following parameter is these simulations

- Ambient Temperature = 15° C
- Tank is NOT exposed to solar heating
- Vessel: H = D = 20 m; s = [1, 5, 10] mm; V = 62,830 m³
- Initial Liquid Level = 0.1%
- Fixed Heat Transfer Coefficients Gas/wall = 5 W/m²K, and Rain/wall = 50 W/m²K



The Protego model does not consider VLE while SuperChems[™] does

- Vapors covered by decreasing volatility
 - Methanol > Ethanol > Heptane > Water > Decane
- ▼ Twophase model with 0.15% ILL
- Purge with nitrogen to achieve saturation
- Calculated profiles will not match Protego's predictions since we're accounting for the vapor-liquid equilibrium and they are not
- ▼ Target is to match the V_{max} by varying h_{gas}



Methanol simulations summary





Protego – Methanol – H_{gas} for wall thickness = 5mm





Protego – Methanol – H_{gas} for wall thickness = 10mm





Protego uses Vapor Model – Replication using SuperChems[™] Vapor Model



- Protego's data can be matched using our vapor model but fails in our 2-phase model
- Reason: Vapor model uses pure ethanol in the vapor state at 55° C and 0 psig
- On the other hand, N2 was added to EtOH in the 2-Phase model to lower the saturated ethanol vapor to 55° C at 0 psig
- Molar composition used in 2-phase model:
 62 mol% Ethanol and 0.38 mol% Nitrogen



Protego – Ethanol – Replication in SuperChems™

PROTEGO ioMosaic Inbreathing (m3/h) Time (min)

Inbreathing Profile – Ethanol

Input ParametersHole size15" (cz 10" pulled a vacuum of -0.035 psig)ΔP_{max}-0.018 psigH_{gas}25 W/m²KH_{rain}50 W/m²K

Source: Process Safety Office® SuperChems™ - ioMosaic Corporation



Time (min)

Temperature Profile – Ethanol

ioMosaic[®] 36

Protego – Ethanol – H_{gas} for wall thickness = 5mm





Protego – Ethanol – H_{gas} for wall thickness = 10 mm





Protego – Heptane – Replication in SuperChems™





Protego – Heptane– H_{gas} for wall thickness = 10mm





Protego – Water – Replication in SuperChems™





Protego – Water – H_{gas} for wall thickness = 5mm





Protego – Water – H_{gas} for wall thickness = 10mm





Protego – Decane – Replication in SC



Source: Process Safety Office® SuperChems™ - ioMosaic Corporation



© ioMosaic Corporation

Protego – Decane – H_{gas} for wall thickness = 5 mm





Protego – Decane – H_{gas} for wall thickness = 10 mm





Our detailed two-phase simulations show that the assumption of 5 W/m²/K is not adequate for condensable vapors

h _{gas} (W/m²K)	1 mm	5 mm	10 mm
Methanol	35	40	45
Ethanol	25	30	35
Heptane	5	5	10
Water	5	20	30
Decane	5	45	100
Air	5	N/A	15



API 2000 Thermal Inbreathing relief equation is adequate for dry air, water, heptane and decane vapors but underpredicts the relief requirement for both methanol and ethanol vapors

Vapor	V _{max, SC} (Nm³/h)	V _{max, API 2000} (Nm ³ /h)	% Deviation (API-Model)/Model	API 2000 Suitable? (Y/N)
Methanol	3818	2278	- 40	Ν
Ethanol	2757	2278	- 17	Ν
Heptane	1745	2278	+31	Y
Water	2175	2278	+5	Y
Decane	2079	2278	+10	Y
Air	940	1655	+76	Y

Source: API 2000 - API equation parameters used: C = 5, Ri = 1, $\dot{V}_{IT} = 5 V_{tk}^{0.7}$



These findings are supported by large scale test data

ioMosaic[®] 49

© ioMosaic Corporation

Holtkoetter (1997) - Effect of Condensation on Thermal Inbreathing (Experimental)

- Tested 3 different vapors: methanol, isopropanol, and water
- Differentiated between vapor condensation happening (1) at the wall and (2) in the bulk (forms an aerosol)
- ▼ Tank capacity = 1.18 m³







Source: Holtkötter, T., Shang, J. and Scheckerm, H.G. "Behälteratmung - Experimentelle Untersuchungen und Entwicklung eines Vorhersagemodells" (1997)



Holtkoetter's Tank – Assumptions Used in SuperChems™

- Ambient Temperature = 10° C, Cloud Coverage = 0% (indoor experiment), Average Wind Speed = 2 m/s
- Location: Berlin, Germany (Longitude: 13.417 deg Latitude: 52.5 deg)
- Vessel: H = 1.5 m; D = 1 m; s = 1.2 mm; V = 1.18 m³
- Initial Liquid Level = 0.15%
- Heat Transfer Coefficients:
- \checkmark H_{gas} = 5 W/m²K (Note: Holtkoetter did not measure this value)
- Rain intensity = 455 kg/m₂h or 17.9 inch/h or H_{rain} = 601 W/m₂K (using Lloyd's Register rain correlation)



Holtkoetter – Methanol – Replication in SuperChems™



Input Parameters		
Hole size	2"	
ΔP_{max}	-0.004 psig	
H_{gas}	30 W/m ² K	
H _{rain}	601 W/m ² K	



Holtkoetter – Isopropanol – Replication in SuperChems™



Input Parameters		
Hole size	2"	
ΔP_{max}	-0.002 psig	
H_{gas}	30 W/m ² K	
H _{rain}	601 W/m ² K	



Holtkoetter – Water – Replication in SuperChems™



Input Parameters		
Hole size	2"	
ΔP_{max}	-0.004 psig	
H_{gas}	30 W/m ² K	
H _{rain}	601 W/m ² K	



Holtkoetter assumed API 2000's constant value of 5W/m²K for h_{gas} for all types of vapors(condensable or not), however, he did not measure this value to validate it

The table below shows the value of h_{gas} used in order to match the experimental data obtained by Holtkoetter

h _{gas} (W/m²K)	1.2 mm Wall	
Methanol	30	
Isopropanol	30	
Water	30	



API 2000 Thermal Inbreathing relief equation is adequate for dry air but underpredicts the relief requirement for water, methanol, and ethanol vapors service in low-pressure storage tanks

Vapor	V _{max, SC} (Nm³/h of air)	V _{max, API 2000} (Nm³/h of air)	% Deviation (API-Model)/Model	API 2000 Suitable? (Y/N)
Sigel Air	104	440	+214	Y
Holtkoetter Water	13	5.6	-57	Ν
Holtkoetter Isopropanol	13.6	5.6	-59	Ν
Holtkoetter Methanol	20.9	5.6	-73	Ν
Sigel Air	104	440	+214	Y
Holtkoetter Water	13	5.6	-57	Ν



Dynamic modeling of inbreathing relief requirements is recommended where condensable vapors are present

- The value of the internal (gas-wall) free convection heat transfer coefficient, H_{gas}, for condensable vapors is higher than the value of 5 W/m²K used by API 2000
- API 2000 rain falling-film heat transfer coefficient has a very high value of 5,000 W/m²K which leads to overdesign of relief requirements -> economic loss
- API 2000 yields adequate relief requirements for non-condensable vapors such as air

ioMosa

API 2000 may not be conservative (underpredicts thermal inbreathing requirements) for condensable vapors and condensable vapor mixtures

References

- API Standard 2000, Venting Atmospheric and Low-Pressure Storage Tanks, 7th Edition, March 2014
- Fullarton, D., J. Evripidis and E.U. Shclünder, "Influence of Product Vapour Condensation on Venting of Storage Tanks", Institut für Thermische Verfahrenstechnik, Universität Karlsruhe (TH),Postfach 6980, D-7500 Karlsruhe 1, 1986
- Foerster, H., K. Schampel, and H. Steen, "Atmungsvorgänge infolge von Witterungseinflüssen an Lagertanks für brennbare Flüssigkeiten", Physikalisch Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB) W-22. Braunschweig Univ. Phys.-Tech. Bundesanst, 1984
- Sigel, R., Kuxdorf, B., Meiss, R. and H. Schwarz, "Praktische und theoretische Untersuchung der Beatmung von Festdachtanks". Chemie Ingenieur Technik, 55.5(1983): 377-379
- Holtkötter, T., Shang, J. and H.G. Scheckerm "Behälteratmung Experimentelle Untersuchungen und Entwicklung eines Vorhersagemodells". Chemie Ingenieur Technik, 69.3(1997): 361-366
- R. Scholz, and R. Weber. "Cooling Down Industrial Tanks". Prepared for PROTEGO Braunschweiger Flammenfilter at API 2000 Meeting Presentation, Fall 2010
- D. Brooks "Thermal Inbreathing Requirements of Low Pressure Storage Tanks at Elevated Temperatures".
 Lloyd's Register Energy Americas, Inc. Spring DIERS Users Group Meeting, Presentation, May 2012

ioMosa

About ioMosaic Corporation

Through innovation and dedication to continual improvement, ioMosaic has become a leading provider of integrated process safety and risk management solutions. ioMosaic has expertise in a wide variety of areas, including pressure relief systems design, process safety management, expert litigation support, laboratory services, training, and software development.

ioMosaic offers integrated process safety and risk management services to help you manage and reduce episodic risk. Because when safety, efficiency, and compliance are improved, you can sleep better at night. Our extensive expertise allows us the flexibility, resources, and capabilities to determine what you need to reduce and manage episodic risk, maintain compliance, and prevent injuries and catastrophic incidents.

Our mission is to help you protect your people, plant, stakeholder value, and our planet.

For more information on ioMosaic, please visit: www.ioMosaic.com

