IONOSCIC[®]

Minimizing risk. Maximizing potential.®

March 13, 2023 | 19th Global Congress on Process Safety

Green, Blue, Grey, or Brown - Pressure Relief Systems **Consideration for Methanol in Decarbonization Processes**

Presenter: Justin Phillips, P.E. Senior Director Phillips.j.tx@iomosaic.com

© ioMosaic Corporation

Any information contained in this document is copyrighted, proprietary, and confidential in nature belonging exclusively to ioMosaic Corporation. Any reproduction, circulation, or redistribution is strictly prohibited without explicit written permission of ioMosaic Corporation.

QMS 7.3 7.4.F06 Rev.9







Justin Phillips, P.E. – Senior Director

- B.S. Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University
- 14+ years of process & pressure relief systems engineering
- Technical Experience
 - Refinery relief systems revalidations and design correction
 - Offshore production platform relief systems revalidations
 - Gas fractionation plants relief systems revalidations and design correction
 - Inland terminal facility relief systems revalidations and design corrections
 - Flare hydraulic evaluations ranging from simple converging networks to complex looped refinery networks, including time-based transient analyses
- Contact Information: <u>phillips.j.tx@ioMosaic.com</u>





(Ice Breaker)



Overview



This presentation weaves together decarbonization via methanol and pressure relief system design considerations



Source: ioMosaic

RAGAGEP Special Considerations Glimpse the Future

Informed Takeaway



Decarbonization?

- Decarbonization is a broad term for reducing or eliminating carbon emissions into the environment (nominally carbon dioxide, CO₂). Carbon capture & storage (CCS), Carbon capture & use (CCU).
- Intensive, but incentives and synergies have popularized methanol production from carbon dioxide hydrogenation technology.



Decarbonization?

- The terms green, blue, grey, and brown are contextual modifiers describing how a process might include:
 - renewable sources
 - hydrocarbon fuels with CCS or CCU
 - hydrocarbon fuels without CCS or CCU
 - coal and heavy hydrocarbons without CCS or CCU
- Clearly, these terms are evocative. Green and blue are considered attractive, like verdant trees beneath an open sky; gray and brown are considered less attractive, like smoke and filth.



Decarbonization What about methanol or pressure relief systems?

- In the context of methanol production, decarbonization is be achieved by capturing CO₂ for use in feedstock, and by storing the carbon within the product methanol molecule.
- But what does this have to do with pressure relief systems? As with any chemical manufacturing process, pressure relief systems must be considered for methanol decarbonization plants, including the unique characteristics of the feedstocks, intermediates, products.



Crash Course



- Methanol, CH₃OH, is the simplest of alcohols.
- The NFPA classifies methanol as a Class IB flammable liquid because it has a flash point lower than 73 °F and boiling point greater than 100 °F [1].







- Historically, methanol was created by pyrolysis of wood.
- In the past century, large scale manufacture achieved with syngas.
 - Partial oxidation of carbon-rich fuel sources or steammethane reformation yields carbon monoxide, CO, a versatile chemical that is ready to react. $(H_2, too!)$
 - \checkmark CO reacts with H₂ gas in the presence of a metal catalyst (e.g., copper) to produce methanol. Competing reactions yield other products, which complicates separation.





- The scientific community also recognized the ability to produce methanol from CO₂ within the past century, but industry has not favored this approach.
 - Abundant coal or methane make endothermic gasification or steam reforming attractive
 - Like syngas methanol, synthesis from CO₂ also involves a metal catalyst, like copper, but with potential mixes of common or rare metals depending on selectivity and desired activity.
- In other words, CO is easier to manipulate and react than its stable cohort CO_2 .
- However, because there are emerging social, regulatory, and commercial incentives to decarbonize, methanol production from carbon dioxide hydrogenation technology is gaining popularity and industrial deployment.

ioMosaic[®]

- Hydrogen gas, H₂, is the other feedstock in the synthetic production of methanol. It is likewise sourced from "multi-colored" processes.
 - \checkmark green H₂ from water electrolysis
 - turquoise H₂ from methane pyrolysis
 - blue H₂ from steam-methane reforming with CCS or CCU
 - ✓ grey H₂ from steam-methane reforming without CCS or CCU
 - ✓ brown H₂ from coal or heavy hydrocarbon gasification without CCS or CCU
 - Obviously, grey and brown H₂-producing processes do not exemplify decarbonization.
- Bonus question: What color is H_2 from electrolysis powered by an upstream non-renewable?

- Equipment is not infinitely strong, so all contemporary codes of construction, such as ASME
 VIII [2] for pressure vessels, require protection against overpressure, most often with pressure relief devices (PRDs).
 - PRDs are the last layer of defense against a loss of containment from pressure-containing equipment onto people and into the environment.
 - Pressure relief devices may take the form of a reclosing pressure relief valve (PRV), a rupture disk, or even an open vent to atmosphere (where permitted).



- A pressure relief system (PRS) is a pressure relief device, its piping, and to a degree its protected equipment (the vessel, tank, rotating equipment, or other equipment that could experience overpressure), and its effluent destination.
- PRS evaluation is essential for
 - new facilities
 - as-built facilities





- Engineers evaluate PRS in three (3) easy steps:
- 1. Analyze isolable sections of a process to qualify potential causes of overpressure.
 - Isolability is an important concept because PRS design is intentional and specific. PRDs relieve at predetermined pressures specific to their protected equipment
 - Isolation valves that are not controlled open are isolation points
 - Control valves are isolation points because of the potential spurious behavior
 - Rotating equipment are isolation points
 - Piping & instrument diagrams (P&IDs) aid evaluation



- Engineers evaluate PRS in three (3) easy steps:
- 1. Analyze isolable sections of a process to qualify potential causes of overpressure.
 - Use industry standards or process-specific knowledge to consistently qualify potential causes of overpressure
 - Document the rationale
 - **DOCUMENT THE RATIONALE**
 - **DOCUMENT THE RATIONALE**



- Engineers evaluate PRS in three (3) easy steps:
- Determine the required relief rates for qualified overpressure scenarios. 2.
 - After qualifying scenarios, quantify them.
 - simple material balances
 - empirical relationships
 - natural laws
 - theoretical relationships
 - some combination of those things





Engineers evaluate PRS in three (3) easy steps:

Determine the required relief rates for qualified overpressure scenarios. 2.

- Ex: The required relief rate for a hydrogen gas booster (a type of reciprocating compressor) that has been inadvertently blocked in is equal to the capacity of that booster.
- Ex: For a liquid methanol storage vessel, the rate at which methanol vaporizes due to an external fire is two-part:
 - Heat input from external fire, Q, may come from empirical methods underpinned by radiant and convective heat transfer theory.
 - Vaporization rate comes from heat input divided by latent heat of vaporization, $W = Q/\lambda$.
- Ex: A distillation column that separates methanol from byproducts, waste streams, and recycle streams may experience a reflux failure scenario. A H&MB around the system determines the imbalance of heat and material compared to normal conditions; excess heat may result in vaporization, and the net product of accumulated heat and material is balanced as a relief load through a pressure relief device.



- Engineers evaluate PRS in three (3) easy steps:
- Rate or create the pressure relief systems for the relief requirements. 3.
 - For new facilities: Design PRS to meet contemporary construction requirements; expect:
 - Frequent iterations
 - Design compromises
 - Competing interests
 - Constructability concerns
 - Unforeseen restraints
 - Hidden agendas
 - Remain engaged with piping designers, project engineers, and others throughout the design phase because constructing an improper PRS design can be **costly**.





- Engineers evaluate PRS in three (3) easy steps:
- Rate or create the pressure relief systems for the relief requirements. 3.
 - For existing facilities: Rating is straightforward...
 - ...unless the piping layout, PRD, equipment, or process conditions are unknown
 - Check relief capacity, acceptable piping and PRD installation, and conformity to good industry practices such as RAGAGEP.
 - If the rating of a PRS design is unacceptable
 - Perform a more rigorous evaluation ("sharpen the pencil")
 - Mitigate legitimate design deficiencies in a process design cycle





- Engineers evaluate PRS in three (3) easy steps:
- This last step also encompasses effluent handling. 3.
 - For atmospheric discharge:
 - Pay great attention to safe discharge locations to avoid harming personnel, equipment, or the environment.
 - Flammable H₂ gas and pressurized steam are discharged to atmosphere frequently (:)
 - Closed systems provide safe destruction for multiple PRDs. The trick is to:
 - Recognizing *global scenarios* that cause an increase in backpressure
 - Modeling the flare header hydraulics in a realistic way (is it *really* all vapor? is it *really* all at once?)







- Ever heard of the EPA or OSHA?
- Ever heard of OSHA's PSM Standard [3]?
 - Both H₂ and methanol are covered
 - \checkmark 29 CFR 1910.119(a)(1)(ii) if their quantities are 10,000 lbs or greater
- But why mention the PSM Standard if it doesn't apply?
 - It is a good model and performance-based.

Hydrocarbon fuels used solely for workplace consumption as a fuel (e.g., propane used for comfort heating, gasoline for vehicle refueling), if such fuels are not a part of a process containing another highly hazardous chemical covered by this standard;

1910.119(a)(1)

This section applies to the following:

1910.119(a)(1)(i)

A process which involves a chemical at or above the specified threshold quantities listed in appendix A to this section;

1910.119(a)(1)(ii)

A process which involves a Category 1 flammable gas (as defined in 1910.1200(c)) or a flammable liquid with a flashpoint below 100 °F (37.8 °C) on site in one location, in a quantity of 10,000 pounds (4535.9 kg) or more except for:

1910.119(a)(1)(ii)(A)

1910.119(a)(1)(ii)(B)

Flammable liquids with a flashpoint below 100 °F (37.8 °C) stored in atmospheric tanks or transferred which are kept below their normal boiling point without benefit of chilling or refrigeration.

ioMosa

RAGAGEP Applicable to Methanol Synthesis Production Facilities

American Petroleum Institute (API)		
API 521: Pressure-Relieving and Depressuring Systems [7]	Standardized overpressure scenario identification and re disposal considerations	
API 2000: Venting Atmospheric and Low-Pressure Storage Tanks [8]	Standardized overpressure and vacuum scenario identifi Low-pressure PRD specification and installation	
API 520, Part I: Sizing and Selection of Pressure-Relieving Devices [9]	Description of PRD types; Sizing PRDs for single- and tw	
API 520, Part II: Installation of Pressure-Reliving Devices [10]	Installation of PRDs; Pressure drop, backpressure, valve	
National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)		
NFPA 2 Hydrogen Technologies Code [11]	Broad application of safety and construction requirement making \geq 100 kg/h H ₂	
NFPA 30: Flammable and Combustible Liquids	Nearly equivalent to API 2000	
American Institute of Chemical Engineers – Design Institute for Emergency Relief Systems and the Center for		
Guidelines for Pressure Relief and Effluent Handling Systems [12]	Rate determination for reactive and nonreactive overpres and two-phase flow; Effluent disposal	

elief rate determination for high-pressure applications; Effluent

ication and relief rate determination for low-pressure storage tanks;

vo-phase flow

e stability, and pipe stress considerations

ts for a range of H₂ facilities; Excludes large scale electrolyzers

Chemical Process Safety (AIChE DIERS & CCPS)

ssure scenarios; Sizing pressure-relief devices (PRDs) for single-



RAGAGEP Applicable to Methanol Synthesis Production Facilities

	National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)	
	Safety Standard for Hydrogen and Hydrogen Systems [13]	Contains guidance on vents to atmosphere and to flare s
Compressed Gas Association (CGA)		
	S-1.3: Pressure Relief Device Standards, Part 3, Stationary Storage Containers for Compressed Gases	Description of PRD types; PRD design and installation re rate determination
	G-5.5 Hydrogen Vent Systems [14]	Description of H ₂ -specific vent system from pressure relie
	H-3 Standard for Cryogenic Hydrogen Storage [15]	Contains guidance on liquid H ₂ pressure relief
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory		
	H2 Tools (h2tools.org) [16]	Public website containing myriad H ₂ safety guidance inclu
	Methanol Institute	
	Methanol Safe Handling Manual [17]	Contains myriad safety guidance for methanol

systems

equirements; Minimum PRD capacity including fire scenario relief

ef devices; Installation requirements

uding references to relevant standards





New facilities that synthesize methanol from H2 and CO2 can be broken into three (3) areas illustrated in Figure 2:

- Sourcing & Storage
- **Reaction & Separation**
- Product Storage





- Liquid CO₂ relief or pressurized gaseous CO₂ can chill downstream piping systems,
 - Use the right steel.
 - Maintain downstream piping free of accumulated water, which can freeze on contact and *really* create a blockage.
 - External freezing of atmospheric moisture (icing on the outside of pipes) is also possible, especially on uninsulated piping.
- CO₂ is an asphyxiant, so relief to atmosphere must never be to an enclosed area where personnel are present. 3



- \checkmark Liquid H₂ and cold gaseous H₂ pressure relief systems can chill downstream piping systems, instantly freezing any accumulated water and creating a blockage.
- Furthermore, H_2 can be cold enough to freeze atmospheric air. How about that! At sea level:
 - H₂ melting pt -435 °F (-259 °C); boiling pt -423 °F (-253 °C)
 - \checkmark N₂ melting pt -346 °F (-210 °C); boiling pt -320 °F (-196 °C)
 - O₂ melting pt -361 °F (-218 °C); boiling pt -297 °F (-183 °C)
- See CGA G-5.5 and H-3 for additional details



- Despite historical use and any notion to the contrary, using a broom of to check for invisible H_2 fires is neither accurate nor especially safe.
- Specialized adhesives, tapes, gas detectors, and thermal detectors are the safest way to check for fugitive H_2 emissions and flames.
- A dispersion and radiation analysis is recommended to document the reasonable extent that unignited effluent can travel and the thermal radiation it exhibits after ignition.





- Electrolyzers are often sold as packaged skids that "plug and play" into a facility
- Take the time to understand the potential causes of overpressure in this technology
 - external fire on liquid-containing vessels
 - overproduction of gas
 - blocked outlet
- Ensure that pre-packaged PRDs are installed according to RAGAGEP, especially those with atmospheric termination points





Reaction & Separation

- The separation technology used purify reactor products are just as unique as the choice of reactor.
- There are three important considerations that can be learned from refining and petrochemical industries:
 - (1) Column imbalance, where the failure of a cooling utility or a blocked outlet can alter the heat and material balance in the system.
 - (2) Series fractionation, where the failure of a heating utility can cause volatile liquids (or dissolved gas) to leave the bottom and then flash in a lower-pressure, downstream recipient system.
 - (3) Gas blow-by from the reactor effluent separator, where a loss of liquid level control can cause hot gas or vapor streams from the reactor to enter the distillation system.





Product Storage

Product Storage

- Check for flammable dispersion and thermal radiation of ignited methanol effluent.
- Perform toxic dispersion analysis from atmospheric discharge points.
 - Consider reasonable AEGL exposure times [22].
- As with the upstream distillation system, consider gas blow-by if storage is interconnected with the separation process





A Glimpse of the Future





A Glimpse of the Future

AIChE positioned itself years ago for this stuff

- Center for Energy Initiatives [23] and Center for Hydrogen Safety [24]
- For direct and practical applications, the Center for Hydrogen Safety promotes the use of www.H2Tools.org, a useful and free-to-use web resource developed and maintained by The Pacific Northwest National Laboratory with support from the US Department of Energy
- For the world of pressure relief systems, API offers some of the most influential and widely used RAGAGEP available, such as API 521. API 521 was created with refinery processes in mind, but over the years it has been readily applied to all manner of facilities.
 - Based upon recent meetings within the API Subcommittee on Pressure Relieving Systems, it is likely that API 521 will begin addressing pressure relief in energy transition technologies such as decarbonization with CCS & CCU, electrolysis, and more.



Conclusion

This paper attempts to bridge the gap between decarbonization via methanol synthesis and pressure relief systems design. It is the presenter's experience-based approximation that there are few organizations and industry professionals that have deep expertise in decarbonization technologies, associated methanol synthesis, and pressure relief system design. The presenter therefor attempts to promote interest in all these areas by including relevant concepts and technologies such as H_2 generation from electrolysis, methanol synthesis from CO₂ hydrogenation, distillation, Process Safety Management, RAGAGEP, specific standards and best practices, and special considerations.



References

[1] National Fire Protection Association. NFPA 30: Flammable and Combustible Liquids Code. 2021 edition. Quincy, MA: National Fire Protection Association; 2021.

[2] American Society of Mechanical Engineers. ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section VIII, Division 1. 2021 edition. New York: American Society of Mechanical Engineers; 2021.

[3] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals. 29 CFR 1910.119. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office; 1992.

[4] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Standards Interpretations: RAGAGEP in Process Safety Management Enforcement. May 11, 2016. Washington, DC: Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Available from: https://www.osha.gov/laws-regs/standardinterpretations/2016-05-11. Accessed March 5, 2023.

[5] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Hydrogen. 29 CFR 1910.103. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office; 2019.

[6] Compressed Gas Association. Standard for the Marking of Compressed Gas Containers. 9th ed. CGA S-1.3. Washington, DC: Compressed Gas Association; 2020.

[7] American Petroleum Institute. Pressure-Relieving and Depressuring Systems. 7th ed. API Standard 521. Washington, DC: American Petroleum Institute; 2020. [8] American Petroleum Institute. Venting Atmospheric and Low-Pressure Storage

2014.

Institute; 2020.

[10] American Petroleum Institute. Installation of Pressure-Relieving Devices. 7th ed. API Standard 520, Part II. Washington, DC: American Petroleum Institute; 2020.

[11] National Fire Protection Association. NFPA 2: Hydrogen Technologies Code. Quincy, MA: National Fire Protection Association; 2022.

Tanks. 7th ed. API Standard 2000. Washington, DC: American Petroleum Institute;

[9] American Petroleum Institute. Sizing and Selection of Pressure-Relieving Devices. 10th ed. API Standard 520, Part I. Washington, DC: American Petroleum



References

[12] Center for Chemical Process Safety. Guidelines for Pressure Relief andEffluent Handling Systems. 2nd ed. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2017.

[13] National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Safety Standard for Hydrogen and Hydrogen Systems. NSS-1740.16. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and Space Administration; 1997.

[14] Compressed Gas Association. Hydrogen Vent Systems, CGA G-5.5. 4th ed. Arlington, VA: Compressed Gas Association; 2021.

[15] Compressed Gas Association. Standard for Cryogenic Hydrogen Storage,CGA H-3. 3rd ed. Arlington, VA: Compressed Gas Association; 2019.

[16] National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), U.S. Department of Energy Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program. H2Tools. Available at: https://h2tools.org/.Accessed March 5, 2023.

[17] Methanol Institute. Methanol Safe Handling Manual. 5th edition. Washington, DC: Methanol Institute; 2020.

[18] Leung JC. Critical CO₂ discharge and visualization. Presented at: DIES Users Group Meeting; September 30, 1992; Princeton, New Jersey.

[19] Maher DW, Valencia, JA, Denton RD, Bevilacqua TJ. Successful demonstration of relieving CO₂-solid-forming streams through a pressure relief system. Mary Kay O'Connor Process Safety Center 18th Annual International Symposium; October 27 – 29, 2015; College Station, TX. College Station, TX: Mary Kay O'Connor Process Safety Center; 2015.

[20] National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Toroidal ring prevents gas ignition at vent stack outlet. NASA Tech Brief 67-10098. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and Space Administration; 1967.

[21] American Petroleum Institute. Welded Steel Tanks for Oil Storage. API Standard 650. 13th ed. Washington, DC: American Petroleum Institute; 2021.

[22] Environmental Protection Agency. Methanol Results in the AEGL Program.Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency. Available from: https://www.epa.gov/aegl/methanol-results-aegl-program. Accessed March 5, 2023.

[23] American Institute for Chemical Engineers. Center for Energy Initiatives. Available from: https://www.aiche.org/cei. Accessed March 5, 2023.



References

[24] American Institute for Chemical Engineers. Center for Hydrogen Safety. Available from: https://www.aiche.org/chs. Accessed March 5, 2023.

[25] American Petroleum Institute. The Environmental Partnership. Available from: https://theenvironmentalpartnership.org. Accessed March 5, 2023.





